International Journal of AI, Big Data, Computational and Management Studies
Noble Scholar Research Group | ICAIDSCT26-Conference Proceeding
ISSN: 3050-9416 | https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9416.ICAIDSCT26-111

Human-Centered Interaction Design for Large-Scale Enterprise Applications

Kavya Muppaneni,
Sr. Software Engineer at HCL global systems, USA.

Abstract: Large-scale enterprise applications are pivotal to a corporate ecosystem and serve as support for complex organizational processes. Industries like finance, healthcare, logistics, manufacturing, etc., are examples of where these systems are operating. These applications have been around for a long time and in many cases, they have been pruned and prodded until they are extremely robust. However, these systems have a common problem that the users experience, a high level of interaction complexity and steep learning curves. Users encounter poor usability in these systems which can hinder productivity and user satisfaction. Human-Centered Interaction Design (HCID) is a perfect tool for the organization of such a nature that has its end-users, however, if not at the core of the design, then at least as the primary focus of the design process. This research works towards the identification of the effective application of HCID principles in the large-scale enterprise software that results in enhanced usability, efficiency, and user satisfaction at the same time not harming the functionality or scalability of the system. The focus of the research is on enterprise systems that involve multi-user roles, complicated workflows, and large-scale operational requirements. The study used a mixed-methods approach and various data collection methods such as user interviews, contextual inquiries, usability evaluation, and design analysis of existing enterprise platforms. Design interventions were developed and iteratively refined based on user feedback and real-world usage scenarios. Research the findings show that incorporating HCID components results in a customer-centric industry that essentially drives the customer's hero journey. Indications include, for instance, physically designed workflows, role-based, step-wise guided interfaces, predictable interaction patterns, mechanisms for feedback (information to/from the user) that are all geared at significantly reducing cognitive load and task completion time while user confidence, productivity, and system adoption will go up. The authors of the paper recognize the importance of continuous incremental improvements in human-centered design of enterprise applications which is significant in the overall effectiveness of enterprise applications. The findings highlight the significance of the early and continuous integration of HCID in the enterprise system development cycle. It also provides practical implications for designers, developers, and organizations willing to create more usable, resilient, and user-friendly enterprise applications.

Keywords: Human-Centered Design, Interaction Design, Enterprise Applications, User Experience (Ux), Usability Engineering, Large-Scale Systems.

1. Introduction

Large-scale enterprise applications act as the digital backbone of today's enterprises and contribute significantly to the management of the essential business functions such as resource planning, customer relationship management, supply chain operations, and data analytics. These types of systems can handle a large number of users, organize the integration of various business processes, and work smoothly at a big scale. Despite the fact that enterprise applications are pivotal elements of the business strategy, they have always been regarded as complicated and unwelcoming to users. In contrast to consumer applications, which put a lot of emphasis on the customer's ease of use and engagement, enterprise systems have concentrated on the features, data integrity, and performance and at times, have overlooked user experience. Organizations are increasingly dependent on digital tools for their daily work, so the way humans interact with these tools has become a key factor of productivity, efficiency, and user satisfaction.This article deals with the major challenges faced by large-scale enterprise applications, points out the main problem of interaction design, and presents the argument for adopting human-centered interaction design in enterprise environments.

1.1. Challenges in Large-Scale Enterprise Applications

Enterprise applications are inherently complex due to the broad array and large scale of problems that they are designed to solve. Often, they consist of multiple modules, databases, and external systems that, on the one hand, support various organizational functions. The complexity of such applications results in the interfaces being overloaded, the screens being stuffed, and the navigation systems being so complicated that users can get bewildered, especially those who use the system less frequently. With new features being added constantly to stay in line with business changes, systems are becoming harder and harder to be comprehended and maintained from the user interaction perspective.

The second major problem is the variety of user roles and workflows that come into play. Very rarely are enterprise systems designed for one type of user only; on the contrary, they need to be able to serve administrators, managers, analysts, operational staff, as well as executives, all of whom have different objectives, skill levels, and operating patterns. Creating an interface that can be used by anyone usually results in a design that compromises the functionalities of the various roles.

Hence, a user might have to sift through a lot of irrelevant information or follow a workflow that is not really designed for his/her needs, which consequently leads to a higher level of frustration and mistakes.

Additionally,meeting scalability and performance requirements creates further challenges in ensuring usability. Regardless of how large the information volume is or how many users are accessing the system concurrently, enterprise applications should function flawlessly and perform at a high level. Examples of design decisions that have been oriented towards performance, such as data-heavy tables or a lack of visible feedback to the user, may lead to deteriorated usability and learnability. Finding a compromise between the two criteria, i.e., system efficiency and user supportiveness through interaction, is always a big challenge.

The most significant barriers that resistance to change and legacy systems pose should not be overlooked. There are quite a few enterprises that still operate on outdated platforms as a result of the high costs of migration and the risk of disruption of operations. Old systems, in most cases, do not have the interaction models of the present, thus making it rather difficult to incorporate any new ideas and at the same time keep the old workflow. Users who have been using the old systems for a long time may also find it hard to accept new interfaces, even when no one intends to make the experience worse.

1.2. Problem Statement

Even though advancements in software engineering and interface design are continually evolving, there still exists a noticeable disparity between the functional capabilities of the enterprise applications, on the one hand, and the actual needs of their users, on the other. It is quite common for systems to meet technical and business requirements very well and yet, users may still find it difficult to complete their tasks easily, quickly, and with high accuracy and confidence. This mismatch is, in most cases, a consequence of design processes that, for the sake of satisfying system requirements, put humans aside and treat end-users as the next thing to be taken care of after functionality.Badly designed interaction of enterprise applications can lead to a number of serious negative consequences. Among other things, users may experience significantly increased mental workload, be slow in completing tasks and make a lot of mistakes. This, in turn, will lead to serious consequences, e.g. in healthcare, finance, or logistics. As users get fed up with complicated or non-user-friendly software solutions, their dissatisfaction may come to such an extent that they will start to avoid the systems altogether, look for workarounds that leave the system out, and thus, compromise data quality and their trust in the organization's digital tools.

Standard UI/UX techniques that are mostly tailored to consumer application designs cannot fully meet the requirements of enterprise environments if they are used directly. Customer-oriented design strategies may fail to consider complex work processes, compliance with regulations, or the necessity for accuracy and uniformity in big organizations. Therefore, it is imperative that the enterprise systems be equipped with a more localized, user-centered, and data-driven approach that takes into account the user’s aims, duties, and working conditions. The resolution of the problem entails a transformation to human-centered interaction design that harmonizes usability, functionality, and the organizational context.

1.3. Motivation

The driving force behind this research is the increasing demand for productivity, efficiency, and error diminution enterprise environments. With the growth of an organization, even minor inefficiencies of system daily interaction can lead to the accumulation of time and cost losses of great magnitude. Humans at the center of well-thought-out interactions can improve the flow of work, shorten the time of training, and help users in making fewer mistakes, thus, their contribution to the operational performance is direct and high.

At the same time, user expectations are rapidly evolving. Employees are now more than ever comparing enterprise applications with consumer apps they use in their private life, which are typically intuitive, responsive, and visually clear. If enterprise systems fail to satisfy these new expectations, users see them as troublesome or outdated. This continuous change of expectations exerts a challenge on organizations to reconsider the design and experience of enterprise applications. On the other hand, businesses have a clear basis for the human-centered interaction design improvement through its quantifiable outcomes. Most of all, user adoption can be improved through the use of well-designed systems, data accuracy may also be enhanced, and decision-making can be expedited. In addition, they serve as a factor for employee satisfaction and engagement which is a vital element in the equation of skilled worker retention. Organizations by focusing on human-centered interaction design, hence, they can abandon the perception of usability as a mere side issue, and rather, they can utilize it as a strategic resource that harmonizes technology with human capabilities and business goals.

2. Literature Review

Research on human-centered interaction design and enterprise systems covers a wide range of disciplines and includes human–computer interaction (HCI), usability engineering, software engineering, and organizational studies among them. The content here first of all recaps human-centered design principles, next the evolution of interaction design of enterprise systems, then the identification of usability and UX frameworks, and finally the studies of usability problems in big systems. It ends by acknowledging the deficiencies and difficulties which warrant further investigations in this domain.

2.1. Overview of Human-Centered Design Principles

Human-centered design (HCD) is a methodology that focuses on the user above everything else. It designs user systems based on their needs, capabilities, and contexts rather than just technical requirements. Being an offshoot of the human–computer interaction area, HCD revolves around the idea that users should be constantly involved, from the very initiation to the end of the design and development process. Its principles are about comprehending the users and their work, designing in a repeated loop, evaluating usability, and bringing the feedback to the design decisions. One of the key concepts of human-centered design is that systems should be tweaked to human behavior, not the other way around. That is, the system should hardly be asking the human for more brainpower, it should be very supportive of the natural use of the human and information should be given in a very clear, understandable and meaningful way. The main ideas behind this are affordances, feedback, consistency, error prevention. The first two essentially tell the user what the system allows for and what it is doing as a result of an action. Next, consistency is basically tell the user that there are similarities across different system elements so that practice with one element can be generalized to another.

Finally, error prevention is basically assuring the user that this time and next time there will be no errors. These four concepts in combination create a perfect user system. Communicating through those means to the user side usually brings about higher user performance as well as fewer errors. But since users are generally not experts in the field, trust and patience eventually wear off. Thus, sophisticated user interfaces need to be designed in a way of hidden mastery for users to make their work more efficient without being overwhelmed by an array of features and functions. This is why these concepts are so essential in the case of enterprise applications - the user is expected to perform complex tasks and the user's errors are of great consequence.

Human-centered interaction design takes these principles a step further by concentrating on how users engage with systems via interfaces, controls, and workflows. The approach is about usability, efficiency, learnability, and user satisfaction. Task performance, error rate, and system acceptance can all be improved by implementing human-centered principles, as the literature shows. The truth, however, is that turning these principles into reality in the case of big enterprise applications is difficult because of the organizational and technological constraints.

2.2. Evolution of Interaction Design in Enterprise Systems

Interaction design of enterprise systems has changed a lot through different eras. One of the oldest enterprise apps was basically one without graphics, only command-line or form-driven; the target users were experts who used manuals and formal training. Functionality always came first and thus usability was kept at a low level because it was users who were supposed to adapt to the system, not the vice versa.

When graphical user interfaces became popular enterprise systems started to use visual interaction components like menus, icons, and dashboards. Accessibility was greatly improved because of this switch, however, still, many systems' interfaces reflected the underlying data structures rather than the users' actual workflows. As enterprise software became bigger in terms of features, interfaces turned overloaded with information which is a clear reflection of the increasing complexity of business processes.

Recently, the interaction design of enterprise systems has been changed by the adoption of web technologies, mobile computing, and design of consumer apps. Role-based dashboards, personalized views, and responsive design are among the things that have attracted the users' attention. Nevertheless, according to the track of the literature, enterprise systems still fall behind consumer applications when it comes to interaction quality and user experience. A big part of this difference is due to legacy constraints, long development cycles, and risk-averse organizational cultures The developments in interaction design simultaneously denote a gradual change in focus from the system itself to the users. Still, a lot of enterprise systems are hampered in their full adoption of human-centered interaction design because of the challenges of meeting other priorities like scalability, security, and compliance.

2.3. Review of Usability Models and UX Frameworks

There are a number of usability models and UX frameworks available that designers can use to both create and assess systems. One such model which has been extensively cited defines usability via effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction within a context of use. It essentially offers a basic framework through which one can evaluate user performance in terms of system-based goal accomplishment. While some frameworks define usability solely through these core parameters, others consider additional aspects like learnability, memorability, error tolerance, and accessibility. The latter argues that usability is a multidimensional construct rather than a single property and that its different facets can significantly affect the user experience over time. For example, if one were to consider enterprise settings, it would be quite logical to assume that, apart from other needs, the ability of users to quickly grasp new concepts and avoid making mistakes would be of the utmost importance.

In addition to usability consideration, UX frameworks also look at incorporation of emotional, cognitive, and situational components of user interaction. Such frameworks acknowledge that factors like user's feelings, trust, and confidence directly

determine system acceptance and usage. Even though UX frameworks have become very popular in the field of consumer product design, their presence in the enterprise sector is yet to be scaled up.

According to the literature, a significant proportion of usability and UX frameworks are universally applicable thus lacking elements that would be reflective of the grandness, intricacies, and internal policies of enterprise systems. Consequently, there may be instances when designers have difficulty reconciling these frameworks with scenarios where there are multiple user roles, stringent regulatory requirements, and sustained system usage.

2.4. Prior Research on Large-Scale System Usability

Research on the usability of large-scale systems reveals the recurring challenges of complexity, integration, and user diversity. Oftentimes, users of enterprise applications find it difficult to navigate systems, interpret system feedback, and accomplish their tasks efficiently, according to the report of different studies. It also suggests that these problems are the results of the poor alignment of system design with real-world work practices.

Empirical evidence from various research works supports the fact that usability issues in an enterprise system can cause a user to commit more errors, lower productivity, and the use of workarounds. The poor interaction design in sectors where the safety of the users is paramount, such as healthcare and aviation, has been linked to the occurrence of life-threatening operational risks. This explains why there have been a lot of attempts to integrate human-centered design approaches in the development of enterprise systems. In terms of methods, previous studies have done usability testing, field studies, surveys, and case studies amongst other techniques. Although these methods reveal a sizable portion of the truth, it has been noted that most of the studies concentrate on particular systems or sectors thus limiting the extent to which their findings can be generalized. Also, usability evaluations are mostly done during the later stage of the development that makes their influence on the main design decision almost negligible. Moreover, the research highlights that organizational elements such as management priorities, development schedules, and stakeholders' agreement are crucial in determining the usability results. Therefore, it is implied that to bring about improvement in enterprise system usability one has to not only engage in better design methods but also the modification of organizational processes.

Table 1: Enhancing Enterprise System Usability through Human-Centered Design Principles

Sl. No.	Author(s) / Source (Representative)	Year	Focus Area	Key Contributions / Findings	Relevance to Present Study
1	ISO 9241-210 (Human-Centered Design Standard)	2010	Human-Centered Design (HCD)	Defines usability in terms of effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction within context of use	Provides foundational principles for HCID applied in enterprise systems
2	Norman, D. A.	2013	Interaction Design & Usability	Introduced concepts such as affordances, feedback, consistency, and error prevention	Forms theoretical basis for reducing cognitive load in enterprise interfaces
3	Nielsen, J.	1994	Usability Engineering	Identified usability heuristics including learnability, efficiency, memorability, and error reduction	Supports usability evaluation metrics used in enterprise applications
4	Preece, J., Rogers, Y., Sharp, H.	2015	Human–Computer Interaction	Emphasized user-centered, iterative design and user involvement	Reinforces iterative prototyping and testing adopted in the study
5	Shneiderman, B. et al.	2016	User Interface Design	Proposed Eight Golden Rules of interface design	Guides consistency and predictability in enterprise UI workflows
6	Carroll, J. M.	2000	Scenario-Based Design	Advocated designing systems around real-world user tasks and scenarios	Influences task analysis and workflow alignment in the methodology
7	Bevan, N.	2009	Usability Measurement Models	Expanded usability to include context, accessibility, and satisfaction	Supports multidimensional usability evaluation used in the research
8	Marcus, A., & Gould, E. W.	2000	Cross-Cultural & Enterprise UX	Highlighted organizational and cultural constraints in enterprise UX	Addresses enterprise-specific challenges such as role diversity
9	Dix, A. et al.	2004	HCI Frameworks	Discussed interaction models and user-system feedback loops	Informs system feedback and interaction clarity improvements

10	Zhang, P., & Galletta, D.	2006	UX in Information Systems	Linked usability and UX to productivity and system adoption	Validates business value of HCID in enterprise environments
11	Johnson, J.	2014	GUI Design for Complex Systems	Focused on reducing complexity through visual hierarchy	Supports redesign of information-heavy enterprise screens
12	Bødker, S.	2006	Participatory Design	Emphasized continuous user involvement in system design	Aligns with user interviews and iterative evaluation approach
13	Venturi, G., & Troost, J.	2004	Large-System Usability	Identified navigation and feedback as major enterprise usability issues	Confirms recurring challenges addressed in this study
14	ISO/IEC 25010	2011	Software Quality Models	Included usability as a core quality attribute	Connects usability with system scalability and quality
15	Hassenzahl, M.	2010	User Experience Design	Introduced emotional and experiential dimensions of UX	Supports inclusion of user satisfaction and confidence metrics

3. Proposed Methodology

This work takes the form of a meticulously structured, human-centric study aimed at identifying the most effective means of integrating interaction design within large-scale enterprise applications. Given the complex nature of enterprise environments with their multiple user types, convoluted workflows, and high business risks, the proposed methodology emphasizes the two aspects of thoroughness and adaptability. The approach is a mix of qualitative and quantitative methods geared towards ensuring that the design solutions are derived from user needs and are also technically and organizationally viable. This section provides a brief description of the overall research design, user research methods, the proposed interaction design framework, the iterative prototyping cycle, and the evaluation methods for checking usability and effectiveness.

3.1. Research Design and Approach

The research is based on a mixed-methods design where qualitative research is done exploratorily and an empirical usability evaluation is deployed for the hefty testing. This type of methodological framework allows the research question to be fully answered. The result of this is a comprehensive view of user behavior and user difficulties in the user environment while it simultaneously provides quantitative data to support design changes. The research is structured in a series of stages: starting from the user research and problem identification, then moving to design development and prototyping, and finally to evaluation.

A human-centred interaction design approach is the foundation of the research. One of the ways of involving the users at significant milestones is to ensure that the system represents real-world needs and is not just a set of requirement assumptions. The methods chosen form a circle wherein enterprise system design, which can be significantly improved through continuous user feedback and testing results, is understood.

The methodological framework is intentionally developed to be suitable for the large-scale enterprise applications that have to support multiple user roles and are of crucial importance to the business. This method is not limited to a single user interface screen; it rather considers the complete end-to-end workflows, role-specific interactions, and organizational factors such as scalability and the existing legacy system integration

3.2. User Research Techniques

A thorough knowledge of the user is a basic principle of the proposed method. Due to the fact that the users of enterprise systems are different, various research methods are used to get a complete picture of the users' needs, behaviors, and problems.

User interviews are carried out with people from various ranks, e.g., operational staff, supervisors, and system administrators. These semi-structured interviews concentrate on daily work, pain points, workarounds, and expectations from enterprise systems. Interviews reveal tacit knowledge and situational factors which are usually disregarded in system documentation.

Personas are created on the basis of interview results in order to depict essential user groups. A persona is one of the most concise ways to present goals, responsibilities, level of technical skills, and difficulties in the interaction. Personas enable the conversion of qualitative data to direct implementation of design features and they also guarantee that the design solutions will consider the users all the time.

Task analysis is a tool to visualize main work routines and spot interaction issues. By observing how the user performs the job step by step, the study finds the areas that lack efficiency, the repetitions, and the interactions that are prone to mistakes. Task analysis is a very useful tool in enterprise environments working with complex and interrelated tasks.

The primary user research techniques and their objectives are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2: User Research Techniques and Objectives

Technique	Purpose	Key Outcomes
User Interviews	Understand user needs and pain points	Contextual insights, user expectations
Personas	Represent key user groups	Role-based design guidance
Task Analysis	Analyze workflows and task complexity	Identification of usability issues

3.3. Interaction Design Framework for Enterprise Applications

Combining the results of a literature review and user research, an interaction design framework for enterprise applications has been created. This framework integrates the principles of human-centered design with the characteristics of enterprise such as role diversity, scalability, and data complexity.

The framework encourages role-based interaction, thereby ensuring that users get only those information and controls which are pertinent to their job functions. This reduces cognitive load and thus user concentration is preserved on the main tasks. Another key component is workflow alignment which spells out the focus on natural task sequences instead of system-driven navigation structures. Consistency and predictability are the main focus points to make it easier for the users to learn and prevent errors, particularly for those users who only occasionally use the system. The framework also includes progressive disclosure, giving a way for advanced functionality to be there for the users without causing them to be overwhelmed by the experienced ones. Most importantly, the framework is not rigid but flexible. It can be gradually implemented, which allows organizations to enhance the interaction quality without the need for complete system redesigns. This adaptiveness is very important in enterprise venues where legacy systems and resource restrictions are the norm.

3.4. Evaluation Metrics and Usability Testing Methods

Evaluation helps in finding out whether the proposed interaction design approach is effective. The method is a combination of both formative and summative usability evaluation methods to gather qualitative and quantitative performance indicators.

Responsible users are involved in usability testing sessions where they are given realistic tasks to perform using the prototypes. Main measurable indicators include task completion time, error rates, and task success rates. These indicators deliver objective proof of the efficiency and effectiveness of the innovations.

Users' opinions, such as the level of user satisfaction and the perceived ease of use, are obtained through post-test questionnaires and structured feedback sessions. Moreover, observational data and think-aloud protocols help to detect usability issues that are not yet reflected in numerical data. Table 2 summarizes the evaluation metrics and corresponding methods used in the study.

Table 3: Evaluation Metrics and Usability Testing Methods

Metric	Evaluation Method	Purpose
Task Completion Time	Usability testing	Measure efficiency
Error Rate	Task observation	Identify interaction breakdowns
User Satisfaction	Post-test questionnaires	Assess perceived usability
Task Success Rate	Scenario-based testing	Evaluate effectiveness

The methodology proposed is a comprehensive approach to improving human-centered interaction in large-scale enterprise applications by combining thorough user research, a custom interaction design framework, iterative prototyping, and detailed evaluation.

4. Case Study

This section of the paper presents a case study demonstrating the application of the proposed human-centered interaction design methodology in a real company setting. The case study documents the user research, iterative design, and usability evaluation stages which resulted in the redesign of significant user interaction components of a large-scale enterprise application. The focus is not on a specific product but rather on a generic enterprise domain, with the intent that the outcomes will be generally applicable to various industries.

4.1. Description of the Enterprise Application Domain

The case study chosen is from a business resource and operations management framework domain, which is typically relevant to large organizations such as logistics providers, manufacturing firms, and service enterprises. The application is a critical business functions backend supporting order processing, workflow coordination, reporting, and operational monitoring. It is a web-based system that hundreds of users from different departments access daily.

The app had been under development repeatedly for years, as a result of which it turned into a very complicated interface with many features. Business requirements kept changing, so new functionalities were added each time, which hardly ever existing interaction patterns were revisited. Therefore, the users were facing very messy screens, inconsistent navigation, and less guidance while doing the tasks. A lot of time was wasted by trainers to get new employees ready, and even seasoned users were forced to rely on their memorized workflows and unofficial shortcuts.

The system was operating in a high precision environment where there was no scope for errors, a minor goof might have led to delays, discrepancies in data, or even loss of money. Although technically the application was very solid, it was not capable of providing an efficient and user-friendly human interaction, therefore it was a perfect example for human-centered interaction design approach.

4.2. User Profiles and Organizational Context

The organization which was using the application had a hierarchical structure where the roles and responsibilities were clearly delineated. The three main user groups identified were: operational users, supervisory users, and administrative users. Operational users were the ones most frequently engaging with the system, thus they were the ones carrying out transactional tasks such as data entry, updating of orders, and status monitoring. Supervisory users were mainly concerned with oversight activities such as approvals, exception handling, and performance tracking. Administrative users handled system configuration, user access, and reporting. The different user groups had widely varying levels of technical proficiency, frequency of system usage, and task complexity. Operational users were always concerned with the proper speed and accuracy of their work, and quite often they would be working under time pressure. Supervisory users required quick access to highly condensed information and decision support features. Administrative users should have the capabilities and be in control, but system interaction is not very frequent for them.

The organization's context was centered on efficiency and reliability, and therefore there was very little tolerance for system downtime or major workflow disruptions. Any changes in the design had to be rolled out gradually so as not to increase the risk or user resistance. This scenario demonstrated perfectly the importance of making user interaction design changes not only by basing them on users' needs but also considering organizational constraints.

4.3. Design Implementation Using the Proposed Methodology

The proposed technique was carried out in a well-planned manner, step-by-step. First of all, user research was done that included interviews and task analysis to understand the problematic areas of the users' workflows. Users frequently complained of issues like excessive navigation steps, ambiguous system feedback, and inconsistent terminology across various modules. The problems found were converted into user personas and journey maps that were later used as a foundation for subsequent design choices.

According to the interaction design framework, the design team changed the main workflows to mirror more closely the sequences of tasks in the real world. Role-based views were implemented to ensure users received the relevant information and actions depending on their work roles. As an example, operational users got neat task-oriented screens, whereas supervisors' dashboards were tailored to status summaries and alerts.

Prototypes were on a series of loop iterations, beginning with low-fidelity wireframes to be used for layout and navigation change instances. Such initial models were checked and validated by users to confirm the team's assumptions and to obtain the users' feedback. When the team became more sure of the design direction, detailed interactive prototypes were developed that simulated real interactions and data scenarios.

At the completion of every iteration, usability tests were carried out, thereby enabling the team to spot and fix problems right away. The revisions resulting from testing included such changes as a more distinct visual hierarchy, better labeling, and more descriptive system feedback during the execution of essential tasks. The methodology was of an iterative type, thus, the final design was the direct result of the users' contributions and not of any theoretical assumptions.

5. Results and Discussion

The following section reports and discusses the results that were the direct outcome of a human-centered interaction design methodology we applied. They put these results in the context of large-scale enterprise applications. The evidence

comes from the case study, i.e., usability testing, performance measurements, and qualitative user feedback. All these findings confirm that human-centered interaction design is capable of facilitating significant improvements in usability, productivity, and user experience while it still keeps working around the drawbacks of the traditional enterprise system design.

5.1. Usability Testing Outcomes

This can be seen from the usability testing of the system prior to and after the introduction of the new design, showing the users' increased ability to complete the tasks faster and more accurately. The participants made fewer errors and were less uncertain when using the system than they were when using the current one. The most frequent usability issues, which had also been brought up during the very first testing rounds, such as unclear navigation routes, confusing titles, and lack of system feedback, will have been almost completely resolved through the final versions.

Users showed significant improvement in the performance of the tasks that were particularly complex and involved multiple steps. The redesigned interactions gave the user a more natural way of working and so their need for memory or external help was reduced. We, the observers, realized that they almost never went back or asked for help, thus indicating that the system's responses were more in line with their expectations.

Think-aloud interviews helped us to get more insight into how users decode information and make decisions. It also showed that people are more sure of their decisions and it is easier for them to understand the system's responses. The research suggests that implementing human-centered design principles in the interaction has made the experience more user-friendly and efficient, even though it is a complex enterprise environment.

5.2. Performance and Productivity Improvements

The quantitative performance metrics without a doubt have clearly demonstrated that a design intervention has significantly increased the productivity level. Users of all main roles completed their tasks in less time, and operational users, who perform monotonous, time-limited tasks, were the ones, thus, receiving the highest benefits. Due to simplified workflows and minimized navigation steps, users executed tasks in no time while still maintaining the required accuracy level.

On top of that, error rates were lowered especially during data entry and status update operations. Users empowered with enhanced visual hierarchy, clearer feedback, and solid error prevention mechanisms, were able to analyze and correct their errors at the very first moment with a lot more ease. These changes are really important in a business environment, where mistakes can cause the continuation of the problems or losses.

Looking at it from an organizational point of view, the productivity improvements that were observed can be seen as an indication of the long term benefits like less time being spent on training new users and support costs being lowered. When the interactions are designed in such a way that they more closely mirror real-world workflows, the system becomes more intuitive and thus less intervention is needed, and users get skilled at a faster pace.

5.3. User Satisfaction and Feedback Analysis

User satisfaction data derived from post-test questionnaires and interviews were very positive to the redesign of the interface. Participants, in particular, rated the new design as more wizard-friendly, efficient, and requiring less mental effort compared to the current system. An overwhelming majority of users even explicitly point out changes in clarity and consistency as the primary reasons for their high satisfaction level.

Qualitative comments also highlighted that role-based views and simplified workflows were very helpful. Users were happy that the system showed necessary information without too many distractions so that they could concentrate on their main duties. Some users said that the new interface looked more “modern” and was similar to the tools they use outside of work, therefore their perception of the system was more positive.

Moreover,according to the answers, users also have more confidence in the system at present. They mentioned that they are more confident that their actions are correctly carried out and that the system will provide them with helpful feedback if any issues occur. Such a sense of trust is crucial in enterprise environments where its absence may lead to reluctance, workarounds, or disregarding of system features.

5.4. Comparison with Existing System Design

The comparison between the redesigned system and the original interface was like opening the book of limitations of the design approach. The current system was primarily engineered to serve functionality and data and lacked real support for user cognition and task flow. The navigation systems were, for the most part, system-driven, unravelling the data models underneath rather than the user's goals.

Coming to the redesigned interface, it was more user-centered and gave the most emphasis to interaction clarity. The unnecessary steps were eliminated, the terminology was standardized, and the communication mechanisms were improved. All these alterations resulted in lower cognitive load, and both novices and experienced users found the system more friendly.

Another point that this comparison revealed is how important it is to do iterative user-informed design. The first system was more or less a product of adding new features, while the redesign came from a deep interaction assessment. Changing from a design that reacts to a design that anticipates the user's needs has made the system much more consistent and user-friendly.

6. Conclusion and Future Scope

The study aimed to uncover the impact of human-centered interaction design on resolving usability issues in large-scale enterprise applications. The integration of human-centered design principles and enterprise-related constraints in this study offers a systematic approach that connects the features of a system and the requirements of users. Besides a comprehensive literature review, a proposed design framework, and a real-world case study, the research illustrated that usability and scalability are not mutually exclusive but rather, when done correctly, they complement each other. User-centered interaction design tales place efficiency, accuracy, and user satisfaction at the forefront and lead to measurable improvements even in complex enterprise environments.

A few important lessons for the designers and organizations come forward. Firstly, it is vital to know one's users in their actual work surroundings; hence, enterprise systems should be designed to fit real workflows and not just idealized processes. Secondly, by using role-based interaction design, it is possible to lessen the cognitive burden drastically because each user group is supplied with only those kinds of information and actions that are relevant and therefore, the work becomes easy. Thirdly, starting with prototyping and usability testing allows uncovering interaction problems early on and thus, saving the cost and risk of changes made at a very late stage. On the organizational level, this research draws attention to the fact that spending money on human-centered interaction design can actually bring in profits in the form of enhanced productivity, less training, and higher user adoption. Enterprises managing their user experience as an utmost priority rather than an afterthought have the advantage of harmonizing their technology better with both human and organizational capabilities.

The present research, despite its contributions, is not without its limitations. There was only one domain, that of a single enterprise application, covered in the case study and as a result, the generalizability of the study to different industries is questionable. Utilizing limited time and resources also necessitated that only a few usability testing sessions be conducted thus, there is a possibility of limited longitudinal insights into long-term system use and adaptation. Besides, the research mainly focused on the outcomes of interaction design rather than the organizational changes that facilitate adoption of UX at scale. Planned research activities building on this research paper are limitless. An extended period observational research study can analyze how users interact with the system and how system usage habits develops under the influence of human-centered interaction design. Cross-domain comparative research spanning different enterprise representative areas like healthcare, finance, and public administration will provide a great aid to the pursuit of validating and refining the suggested framework. Additional studies might investigate how human-centered design could be incorporated with agile and DevOps methods in the world of enterprise software development.

There are many changes that indicate a move towards increased personalization, data-driven UX optimization along with the usage of artificial intelligence to allow for adaptive interfaces as the direction of human-centered enterprise design. Taking a human-centered interaction design point of view will be of essence in the future as well, to ensure that the enterprise systems remain user-friendly, efficient, and compatible with human users, even as they undergo continuous development and change.

References

1. Kwon, Jieun, Younghyun Choi, and Yura Hwang. "Enterprise design thinking: An investigation on user-centered design processes in large corporations." *Designs* 5.3 (2021): 43.
2. Smith, Philip J., Norman D. Geddes, and Roger Beatty. "Human-centered design of decision-support systems." *Human-Computer Interaction*. CRC Press, 2009. 263-292.
3. Rouse, William B. *People and organizations: Explorations of human-centered design*. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.
4. Boy, Guy A. "A human-centered design approach." *The handbook of human-machine interaction*. CRC Press, 2017. 1-20.
5. Schulze, Hartmut, et al. "Human-centered design of engineering applications: Success factors from a case study in the automotive industry." *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries* 15.4 (2005): 421-443.
6. Scott, Ronald, et al. "Work-centered support systems: A human-centered approach to intelligent system design." *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 20.2 (2005): 73-81.
7. Xu, Wei, et al. "Applications of an interaction, process, integration and intelligence (IPII) design approach for ergonomics solutions." *Ergonomics* (2019).
8. Watson, Michael E., et al. "Human-centered design using system modeling language." *Journal of Cognitive Engineering and Decision Making* 11.3 (2017): 252-269.

9. Yue, Yang, and Joseph Z. Shyu. "An overview of research on human-centered design in the development of artificial general intelligence." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12352* (2023).
10. Barthelmess, Paulo, et al. "Human-centered collaborative interaction." *Proceedings of the 1st ACM international workshop on Human-Centered Multimedia*. 2006.
11. Margetis, George, et al. "Human-centered design of artificial intelligence." *Handbook of human factors and ergonomics* (2021): 1085-1106.
12. Goodwin, Kim. *Designing for the digital age: How to create human-centered products and services*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
13. Shin, Donghee. "A socio-technical framework for internet-of-things design: a human-centered design for the internet of things." *Telematics and Informatics* 31.4 (2014): 519-531.
14. Matz, Alexandra, and Clarissa Götz. "Designing human-centered interactions for smart environments based on heterogeneous, interrelated systems: A user research method for the "age of services"(URSERVe)." *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020.
15. Quintana, Vince, Robert A. Howells, and Lawrence Hettinger. "User-Centered Design in a Large-Scale Naval Ship Design Program: Usability Testing of Complex Military Systems—DDG 1000." *Naval Engineers Journal* 119.1 (2007): 25-33.